1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 6 7 IVAN HUERTA VALENCIA, NO. 1:18-CV-3007-TOR 8 Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR ENTRY OF 9 v. DEFAULT JUDGMENT 10 TIMOTHY N. THOMPSON, et al., 11 Defendants. 12 BEFORE THE COURT is Plaintiff's Request for Entry of Default 13 Judgment. ECF No. 6. This matter was submitted for consideration without oral 14 argument. The Court has reviewed the record and files herein, and is fully 15 informed. 16 On January 25, 2018, Plaintiff Ivan Huerta Valencia, proceeding pro se, 17 filed the Complaint alleging a 42 U.S.C. § 1983 claim against Timothy N. 18 Thompson and other unnamed Defendants. ECF Nos. 1; 4. Plaintiff filed a 19 20 Waiver of the Service of Summons with the printed name of Timothy N.

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR ENTRY OF DEFAULT

JUDGMENT ~ 1

Thompson, but the waiver was not signed. ECF No. 1-2. The waiver states that Defendant Thompson "must file and serve an answer or a motion under Rule 12 within 60 days from 01/25/2018." *Id.*

In the instant motion, Plaintiff requests default judgment against Defendant Thompson and "any and all aliases or DOE defendant entities which may be used, or in use, by Mr. Thompson" ECF No. 6 at 1. Plaintiff asserts that Defendant Thompson is in default of the time period specified for him to answer Plaintiff's Complaint. *Id.* Plaintiff states that Defendant Thompson had 21 days to answer, which expired on February 16, 2018. *Id.* Plaintiff sent a copy of his request to Defendant Thompson's alleged address. *Id.* at 2.

The Court finds that Defendant Thompson would have been required to answer the Complaint by March 26, 2018, which is 60 days from January 25, 2018. *See* ECF No. 1-2. Yet, Defendant Thompson is not required to respond because there is no evidence that he waived service. Defendant Thompson did not sign the waiver nor does Plaintiff provide any other evidence that Defendant Thompson was served with the Complaint.

The Court denies Plaintiff's Request for Entry of Default Judgment (ECF No. 6) as Defendant Thompson has not been properly served. The Court instructs Plaintiff to serve Defendants pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4. The Court notes that a defendant must be served within 90 days after the complaint is

1	filed or plaintiff must show good cause for the failure to timely serve. Fed. R. Civ
2	P. 4(m).
3	ACCORDINGLY, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:
4	Plaintiff's Request for Entry of Default Judgment (ECF No. 6) is DENIED .
5	The District Court Executive is directed to enter this Order and furnish
6	copies to the parties.
7	DATED April 3, 2018.
8	Howas O Price
9	THOMAS O. RICE Chief United States District Judge
10	Chief United States District Judge
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	